

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**CONT.CAS(C) 672/2010****JAITUN Petitioner****Through : Ms.Jayshree Satpule, Adv.****versus****MATERNITY HOME MCD JANGPURA and ORS Respondents****Through : Ms.Sana Ansari, Adv. for Ms.Zubeda Begum, Adv. for GNCTD.****Mr.Pankaj Batra, Adv. for respondents no.2 and 2A along with
Mr.A.K. Jha, Statistical Investigator.****CORAM:****HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE G.S.SISTANI****ORDER****11.01.2013**

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the respondents have failed to comply with the directions contained in the judgment dated 4.6.2010 passed by a Division Bench of this court. It is further submitted that both the JSY (Janani Suraksha Yojana) and NMBS (National Maternity Benefit Scheme) are not being made applicable to the pregnant women of below poverty line.

2. Learned counsel for respondents no.2 and 2A submits that respondents no.2 and 2A have already made an interim application before the Supreme Court of India in the case of PUCL v. Union of India and the outcome of which would have a direct bearing on the present contempt petition.

3. The stand taken by counsel for respondents no.2 and 2A is not acceptable to the Court, as the order of the Division Bench was passed way back in the year 2010.

4. Having regard to the fact that this matter has been pending in this Court since September, 2010, the same cannot be endlessly adjourned, especially, in view of the fact that there is no interim order passed by the Apex Court staying the judgment rendered by this Court. A final opportunity is granted to respondents no.2 and 2A to implement the said schemes within a period of six weeks from today, failing which respondents no.2 and 2A are directed to remain present in Court on the next date of hearing.

5. List on 7.3.2013.

6. DASTI to the parties.

G.S.SISTANI, J

JANUARY 11, 2013

msr

CONT.CAS(C) 672/2010 2/2

\$ 09.